

W

October 24, 2010

**TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:**

My name is Leona Bouchard (Johnston) and I did on January 29, 2006, sign my name to an urgent email addressed to Mr. David Bradley @dbradley@wilderwilder.com. I am the eldest of Lionel Bouchard's children. I did move away from Manitoba in 1968.

The content of my letter to Mr. Bradley had to do with a developing situation surrounding my father Lionel Bouchard of Elie, Manitoba. A situation of which I had no knowledge of until an urgent phone call from my brother Andre Bouchard, of Portage la Prairie, January 29th. My brother Andre Bouchard, who had not until that day initiated a phone call to me in well over 30 years, did inform me of an urgent matter regarding my father. He stated that my father was in danger and my sister Marlene Legare from B.C. had initiated a lawsuit on behalf of my father, had cleaned out my father's account, and numerous other offences against my father, apparently. Andre Bouchard assured me that the rest of my siblings were as concerned about my father's welfare as he was and urged me to get on board as well to prevent Marlene from "hurting" my father further. My brother was very convincing. I had no knowledge of what had transpired in Manitoba for months prior to this incident with my father, and had no reason to suspect anything, and was shocked to hear of my father's recent serious head injury. I heard about my father's fall and stay in the hospital months after he was allowed out of the hospital. My brother's first ever phone call to me and his sense of urgency, played a huge part in my willingness to support his endeavours to "stop" whatever "harm" my sister Marlene might incur on our father without really understanding what was actually transpiring. Andre Bouchard was not truthful with me, I was coached and I now regret penning that letter to Mr. Bradley. I have since gotten some personal insight and lots of clarity on my father's situation then and now, by observing my siblings' actions since that day, and have concluded that my father needed to be protected from his own son and daughters, whose motives, in my mind, have been suspect since 2006 and continue to be suspect, especially now, since our mother's demise, January 2007. Since January, 2006, certain family members living in rural Manitoba have shown themselves to me personally, to be deceitful, selfish and above all, greedy.

The destructive actions of certain siblings was inexcusable and their motives purely self-serving. I believe that my father's rights to continue living in his own home was denied and that he should not have been forced off his property.

It is now my strong belief, that my father was being set up and manipulated by his own children whom he trusted at that time. I am very concerned about my father's physical safety and mental wellbeing once he returns to Elie. It is clear to me, that it will not be a healthy environment for my father. It is also clear to me that Andre Bouchard, Lynda Staub and Claire Demery are not to be trusted to allow their father to live out the remainder of his life in peace and harmony. The protective orders must be put back in order to protect my father from abuse at the hands of his own children.

*Leona Bouchard Johnston*

**Leona Bouchard-Johnston**

*Calgary, Alberta*

403 281-4965 (home)

403 830-9399 (cell)

2005 09:43 4032017000  
October 25, 2010

**TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:**

***Doreen's statement:***

"I was very upset when I visited my Father in hospital only 48 hours after his fall, and he was extremely agitated that 'the 3 musketeers, as I call them' had already cleaned out his entire home, thrown out things without his permission and without him being there to supervise, and locking other possessions in sheds on the property, or on their own properties. He believed they would have snooped through and probably taken many of his private and personal financial and estate papers. He said they claimed it was because they were afraid Marlene would move in and control his assets and his life, now that he was injured, but, it was clear that Dad was less worried about that than he was about how Andy Bouchard and his two sisters had already stripped him of his privacy and rights, and home.

Dad talked to me about how Andy had tricked him into signing a Power of Attorney, or some other legal document (recollection doesn't serve me on which one it was, exactly). He said Andy slipped it under other paperwork, something to do with insurance, possibly the claim for his slip and fall injury at St. Eustache church (again, if I recall correctly); but that, in fact, he had been tricked into signing a legal document giving Andy control of something he would not have willingly done. He was very upset by that.

Dad even told me to quickly run over to the farm and take a TV; he wanted me to have

'something' before it was all 'gone', stolen by the others, he said. And, he went on to say how he was afraid of losing the money in his own bank account and gave me a handwritten note authorizing the bank to release \$1,000.00 to me immediately. He said I needed to go immediately, and tell no one, before it was all gone. I told him he shouldn't be doing anything until he was of sound mind and had healed; I did not use the signed note and discarded it some time later.

He never uttered a word about being afraid of Marlene's actions, or that he was upset with Marlene or that she had taken advantage of him. All the fears he expressed were about the actions of his son, Andy Bouchard, predominantly, and, as 'accomplices' (knowingly or not, I'm unsure), at Lynda Staub and Claire Demery.

As time went on, I became furious that these 3 siblings had, in fact, moved my Dad out of his home and confiscated all his private possessions before he even had more than 3 days to heal and express his own wishes.

I began to suspect that Marlene might be a scapegoat, since I felt they could have very easily only changed the locks, and/or removed ONLY Dad's personal papers, without cleaning out every corner and moving furniture out, and/or that Andy could have simply placed a restraining order on Marlene to keep her off the property. I felt something was just not 'right' about how quickly, thoroughly, and excessively they had 'protected' Dad from Marlene.

When Dad came out of the hospital, in the months and years that followed, Dad continued to tell me how he felt he'd been repeatedly lied to, manipulated, and taken advantage of by his son Andy Bouchard over the years. I couldn't grasp all the details of their legal agreements (all verbal, I might add) which stretched back 20 years, and so I didn't get involved. I simply counselled Dad to take it up with Andy Bouchard himself and/or to live with his mistakes of having trusted him (favoured him all his life, given Andy is the eldest son of a Fr-Cdn, R.C. Family - a cultural thing) .. and to simply not have any further contact or dealings with Andy Bouchard.

say how he was afraid of losing the money in his own bank account in Stonewall and gave me a handwritten note authorizing the bank to release \$1,000.00 to me immediately. He said I needed to go immediately, and tell no one, before it was all gone. I told him he shouldn't be doing anything until he was of sound mind and had healed; I did not use the signed note and discarded it some time later.

He never uttered a word about being afraid of Marlene's actions, or that he was upset with Marlene for taking advantage of him. All the fears he expressed were about the actions of his son, Andy Bouchard, predominantly, and, as 'accomplices' (knowingly or not, I'm unsure), at Lynda and Claire.

Also, Dad was acting in a very paranoid way, whispering and asking me to keep my voice down, and saying that he was afraid there were cameras in the room recording our visit and conversation. Given he was suffering from a concussion, and on medication, I didn't take it seriously. Although, as time went on, I became furious that these 3 siblings had, in fact, moved my Dad out of his home and confiscated all his private possessions before he even had more than 3 days to heal and express his own wishes.

I began to suspect that Marlene might be a scapegoat, since I felt they could have very easily only changed the locks, and/or removed ONLY Dad's personal papers, without cleaning out every corner and moving furniture out, and/or that Andy could have simply placed a restraining order on Marlene to keep her off the property. I felt something was just not 'right' about how quickly, thoroughly, and excessively they had 'protected' Dad from Marlene.

When Dad came out of the hospital, in the months and years that followed, Dad continued to tell me how he felt he'd been repeatedly lied to, manipulated, and taken advantage of by his son Andy Bouchard over the years. I couldn't grasp all the details of their legal agreements (all verbal, I might add) which stretched back 20 years, and so I didn't get involved. I simply counselled Dad to take it up with Andy Bouchard himself and/or to live with his mistakes of having trusted him (favoured him all his life, given Andy is the eldest son of a Fr-Cdn, R.C. Family - a cultural thing) .. and to simply not have any further contact or dealings with Andy Bouchard.

During the 'conflict' between the three Manitoba siblings and Marlene in the weeks immediately following Dad's release from hospital, and Dad having been relocated to St. Eustache Manor, I did visit him at his new apartment. To my surprise, Andy was there with binders and binders full of 'evidence' against Marlene; as was his wife Angela, and sibling Claire Demery. They tried to persuade me that it was all Marlene's fault, but I knew better than to take Andy's word at face value. I have always, my entire life, questioned his ethics and honesty - just a gut feeling. So, I agreed to attempt to 'mediate' the conflict on the condition that Andy Bouchard allowed Dad to return to his home in Elie if and when he so chose, and no later than July 1 that year. I asked him, several times, in front of his wife and my sister, and Dad, if I had his word. He said I did. Yet, 5 months later, and now, years later, Dad has not yet been returned to his homestead in Elie. I understand that, in the very beginning, it was Dad's choice. But, as time went on, I believe Dad began to 'wake up' to how much he'd been manipulated by his son, and when he later wanted to return to the homestead, Andy would not, and still has not, allowed him to return. I don't know if there's some legal reasons for this, but if there are, I'm not aware, and, regardless, I believe the ethical thing to do would be for my brother Andy to fairly compensate him for not being allowed to die in peace on the property in Elie that was once owned by Lionel, where he raised his nine children, and was once owned by Lionel's father before him. I do NOT think it would be wise or safe for Lionel to return to the farm, as the relationship between he and his grown children in Manitoba has been damaged beyond repair and no-one would be there to help him in his senior years. I, myself, plan to move out of province in the near future."

*Leona Bouchard*  
Signed for *Doreen Bouchard*  
*Oct 25/2010*

Leona Bouchard on behalf of Doreen Bouchard with the express permission of Doreen Bouchard

*Page 2/ Doreen Bouchard*

He never uttered a word about being afraid of Marlene's actions, or that he was upset with Marlene or that she had taken advantage of him. All the fears he expressed were about the actions of his son, Andy Bouchard predominantly, and, as "accomplices" (knowingly or not, I'm unsure), at Lynda Staub and Claire Demery.

As time went on, I became furious that these 3 siblings had in fact, physically moved my Dad out of his home and confiscated all his private possessions before he even had more than 3 days to heal and express his own wishes.

I began to suspect that Marlene might be a scapegoat, since I felt they could have very easily only changed the locks, and/or removed ONLY Dad's personal papers, without cleaning out every corner and moving furniture out, and/or that Andy could have simply placed a restraining order on Marlene to keep her off the property. I felt something was just "not right" about how quickly, thoroughly, and excessively they had "protected" Dad from Marlene.

When Dad came out of the hospital, in the months and years that followed, Dad continued to tell me how he felt he'd been repeatedly lied to, manipulated and taken advantage of by his son Andy Bouchard over the years. I couldn't grasp all the details of their legal agreements (all verbal, I might add) which stretched back 20 years, and so I didn't get involved. I simply counselled Dad to take it up with Andy Bouchard himself and/or to live with his mistakes of having trusted him (favoured him all his life, given Andy is the eldest son of a French/Canadian, R.C. Family - a cultural thing) - and to simply not have any further contact or dealings with Andy Bouchard.

During the "conflict" between the three Manitoba siblings and Marlene in the weeks immediately following Dad's release from hospital, and Dad having been relocated to St. Eustache Manor, I did visit him at his new apartment. To my surprise, Andy was there with binders and binders full of "evidence" against Marlene; as was his wife Angela and Claire Demery. They tried to persuade me that it was all Marlene's fault, but I knew better than to take Andy's word at face value. It has always been my experience, my entire life, to question my brother's ethics and honesty. So, I agreed to



880-7969

*Page 3/ Doreen Bouchard*

attempt to "mediate" the conflict on the condition that Andy Bouchard allow Dad to return to his home in Elie if and when Dad chose to do so and no later than July 1 of that year. I asked him, several times, in front of his wife and my sister, Claire, and Dad, if I had Andy's word. Andy said he gave his word. Yet, 5 months later, and now, years later, Dad has not yet been allowed to return to his homestead in Elie. At the very beginning, Dad was okay with moving out temporarily as he was being forced to and thought he would be returning. But, as time went on, I believed Dad began to "wake up" to how much he'd been manipulated by his own son, and when he later wanted to return to the homestead, Andy would not, and still has not, allowed Dad to return. I don't know if there's some legal reasons for this, but if there is, I'm not aware, and, regardless, I believe the ethical thing to do would be for my brother Andy to fairly compensate Dad for not being allowed to die in peace on the property in Elie that was once owned by my Dad, where he raised his nine children, and was once owned by Dad's father before him. I do NOT think it would be wise or safe for Dad to return to the farm, as his relationship with his grown children in Manitoba has been damaged beyond repair and so I doubt they will be there for him as he ages and needs more assistance. I, myself, plan to move out of province in the near future.



Signed: Doreen Bouchard, Winnipeg, Manitoba

October 25, 2010

I, John Lafreniere, of St. Francois Xavier, was present one summer, about ten years ago, when I went to pick up strawberries at Lionel Bouchard's farm and witnessed in horror, as Andre Bouchard addressed his father to his face as "you old fucker"!


In August 2008, Lionel came over to my house with Mike Slegers. He appeared very scared and troubled and was afraid to talk to me in the house and kept looking at Mike who was on the other side of the wall.

I went out to the back of the house so that Mike could have a cigarette while Susie, my caretaker, went to speak to Lionel in the front as it was obvious to both of us something was very wrong as we have known Lionel as he has enjoyed meals with us for years.

Lionel told us he was not allowed to drive us to the city as agreed because of Mike's restrictions on him. We had also noted that his red van was nowhere in the yard and his older van was in the back, and that Mike had placed his vehicles in the middle of the driveway so that Lionel could not come and go as he pleased, nor did Lionel have his his cellular phone.

Late on August 19, 2008, we received a call asking to store Lionel's personal belongings at our place and then a second stating concerns for the vehicle and driver's safety as we live on the same highway as Mike Slegers. Later that night, Susie and I observed Andy Bouchard and Mike Slegers hiding across the street from my house in the dark, in a truck hidden between the buildings amongst the bushes until the wee hours of the morning – which we later reported to the RCMP later. We did not think to call the RCMP that night and that was an error on our part. We feared for Lionel had he been coming with the van and waited to ensure his safety if he did arrive. We noticed the smell of cigarettes coming from across the road. We were concerned that Andy and Mike may have had weapons in their vehicle. The next morning Mike Slegers admitted to us that he had been up until 7:00 a.m. that morning.

Based on my knowledge of what has been happening to Lionel Bouchard, I feel strongly that Lionel Bouchard is in urgent need of protection from his children especially in light of the fact that the protection orders were removed due to his inability to travel to attend a hearing held on September 16 & 17, 2010. I feel very strongly that the Court needs to be advised the danger of having removed these orders which need to be reinstated urgently and indefinitely for Lionel's protection going forward as I fear his life is in danger and am advising the court of my concerns.

  
John Lafreniere

1056 Highway 26  
St. Francois Xavier, MB  
R4L 1B6  
864-2466


October 26, 2010

My name is Angie Brinkworth. I work as a lab technician at the hospital in Winnipeg.

I have known Lionel Bouchard for many years. In his absence in March 17, 2010, I represented him at a teleconference hearing in Winnipeg to set aside three protection orders against Andy Bouchard, Lynda Staub and Claire Demery which had been granted to Mr. Bouchard on an ex-parte order.

Based on my personal experience and all the information on record, and my knowledge and association with Lionel over the years, it is more than obvious that Lionel Bouchard is in dire need of a protection order as he has been harassed, had his property destroyed, his van confiscated, been chased out of the province for fear of his life, and in constant fear of being committed by those three children aforementioned. He has suffered psychological and emotion abuse over the past five years which are not likely to stop anytime soon.

The protection orders need to be reinstated immediately; otherwise I greatly fear that harm will come to Lionel at the hands of Andy Bouchard, Lynda Staub and Claire Demery as I no reason to believe that these children will leave their father alone, especially in light of the fact that the protection orders were set aside. The fact that Lynda Staub has already requested the transcript of the judge's decision on September 16, if proof that she intends to use the decision to present to mental health workers or other court appointed officials to harass or continue their quest to make their father a ward of the public trustee as in the three affidavits they presented to the court.



Angie Brinkworth  
436 Grassie Boulevard  
Winnipeg, MB  
R3W 1S5  
663-2934

October 26, 2010

I, Fernand Menard, of St. Eustache Manor, have known Lionel Bouchard all my life.

In 2006, Lionel was moved against his will into the manor here, by his son Andre Bouchard and his wife Angie, daughters Lynda Staub and Claire Demery.

Andy installed a heavy chain inside his father's door against Manitoba Housing regulations which Lionel was required to take down when the Housing Authority became aware of its existence as it posed a fire hazard if residents cannot access suites.


This heavy chain was installed in order to keep Marlene Legare, of B.C., from making contact with her father and to control and manipulate his father, out of pure malice as we have a security intercom system at the locked front door and most of us have an open door policy whereby we do not even close our doors, let alone lock them during the day.

Andy insisted his father not answer the intercom to the front door nor the telephone which number was unlisted, or never to open the door without identifying the other party and even then, Lionel had been instructed to leave the chain on the door at all times when opening the door - in other words Andy was basically confining his father to being a prisoner in his own room.

Andy also passed out Marlene's picture telling all the residents that Marlene was trouble and to immediately call 911 if she appeared at the manor to visit her father. On one occasion, Lynda Staub called the RCMP when Marlene finally got to speak to her father on the opposite side of chained door when she and her Uncle Laurent Houde wanted to take Lionel out for Chinese food. Lionel could not go because the next shift of guards as we called it, Lynda Staub and sometimes Peggy Keough, - Lionel's sister, came over. His children, for the first few weeks, stayed overnight in the small crowded room to ensure they protected their interests and not their father's, to prevent Marlene from communicating with her father. I was aware that Lionel's brother Laurent Houde who lived at the St. Eustache Manor, and concerned had invited Marlene into his room, had her stay over a couple nights in the hopes he and Marlene could speak to her father. On the day that Lionel moved in, Andy had a psychiatrist - Bruce Jamieson in attendance.

Andy then posted on the outside of his father's door a "No trespass" sign, similar to one that he posted at Lionel Bouchard's farm in Elie and from what we heard one for Andy's own residence in Portage La Prairie which is on acreage. Again Manitoba Housing instructed the sign be taken down as this sign upset the residents.

From what I have witnessed being around Lionel and these three particular children, Andy Bouchard, Lynda Staub and Claire Demery, Lionel Bouchard is in dire need of help by way of protection order from the legal system.

  
Fernand Menard 353-2063

November 30, 2010

To Whom It May Concern,

My name is Joe Bouchard. I am Lionel Bouchard's younger brother.

My wife's name is Rose and we live in New Westminster, British Columbia.

The past four years Lionel has lived in constant fear from his son Andre Bouchard and his two daughters Lynda Staub and Claire Demery, three of his nine children, who live in Manitoba.

Lionel has a life estate agreement with his son Andre but Andre does not want to honour his life estate agreement with his father since his father took a fall on ice in January 2006.

Andy just wants his father off his property in order to sell the property for a greater value than if he the small farm home was not occupied by his father.

Andy has resorted to fear and pressure tactics, constant lies to deceive his father as he wants his father gone and has tried to commit his father to a psychiatric ward several times.

Andy and his sisters are now trying to make their father a ward of the public trustee in order to void Lionel's rights that way Andy can bypass the caveats on the property that Lionel was able to register after he was kicked out of his home.

This abuse has to stop. My brother is going to be 87 years old in January. He raised Andy plus eight other children. The property Andy virtually gave his son and now his son can't even honour his agreement!

Rose and I fear that my brother can suffer a heart attack because of all the stress that has brought to him by his son and daughters.

*Joe Bouchard*

*R Bouchard*

October 16, 2010

To Whom It May Concern:

We have met Mr. Lionel Bouchard, and are very much aware of some of the issues he has with his children in Manitoba as his daughter, Marlene Légaré, has been preparing our tax returns for the past 20 years.


We were in attendance at Desiree Légaré's wedding this past summer and we noted that Mr. Bouchard was absent despite living with his daughter Marlene at the time.


It is our understanding that Mr. Bouchard was not at the ceremony of his granddaughter out of very real fear of being apprehended amongst family, as had occurred on several occasions in the past since his unfortunate accident.

Mr. Bouchard has endured emotional, cruel, mental and psychological pain and suffering which concerns us as it is our belief that this is not healthy and could contribute to the shortening of Mr. Bouchard's life.

For these reasons, we are of the opinion that the protection orders recently set-aside should be reinstated on an urgent basis. This time, however, we strongly recommend that the orders be made irreversible life-time protection orders in light of Mr. Bouchard's age, whereby at age 86 1/2, it is easy to take advantage of a senior, especially one who desperately wants to believe that his children love him when the truth and all the evidence proves otherwise.

Yours truly,

  
Ray Harvey

  
Ann Harvey  
27051 - 100<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
Whonnock, B.C.  
V2V 1V9  
604-462-9768

#5 – 1 Allarie Street,  
St. Eustache, MB.  
204 – 353-2063

October 26, 2010

My name is Roger Menard and I live at the St. Eustache Manor and have grown up with Lionel Bouchard of Elie.

When living at the Manor, Lionel was not allowed to go anywhere unescorted and we were often asked by Andy Bouchard to accompany his father to church or for coffee so that someone was always in Lionel's presence to ensure he did not talk to Marlene.

Lionel Bouchard, at the time, was not ready to live at the manor as he was too active holding down a government landscaping job for several sites and still custom combining for his son Andy. I was concerned that if Lionel spent his days in a very small two-room bachelor suite this would shorten his life span.

**Lionel also told us on many occasions that he wished to live in his own home of over 50 years and had several agreements with his children to be allowed to move back in June 2006 which, to this day, he has not yet been allowed to move back to his homestead in Elie.**

Andy had come over on Saturday, January 28, 2006, and told me that his father no longer had a vehicle, needed 24/7 care (we are low rental not assisted-living complex for anyone of any age to live at), stating that Lionel had to be among others for his safety. We found the exact opposite, Lionel was independent and out almost every day, all day long as soon as he was allowed to drive when his doctor gave him clearance after two weeks of being released from the hospital after his accident at the Church across the street.

I later learned that Mike Slegers confiscated Lionel's van and personal belongings and refused to return these items to him. Mike to this day continues to phone and harass Lionel threatening to destroy his property, throw it to the dump, etc. We are aware that Mike Sleger's wife had to put a protection order against him when he stalked her with a gun, and he has repeatedly reported his sons to the RCMP. Mike has been kicked out of the local café's repeatedly for causing trouble.

These are but a few of the reasons that we feel that Lionel Bouchard is in need of protection orders in order that he not be confined ever again in the future and for his safety when he returns to his property. Andy Bouchard, his sisters Lynda Staub and Claire Demery and now Mike Slegers have been harassing and threatening Lionel if he does not comply with their wishes, have taken away his livelihood whereby he had to give up his job cutting grass on his tractor as he could not access his tractor on the

farm, had his motor home confiscated and blocked by Andy's large farm equipment deliberately and at one point the RCMP had to be called in order to make Andy release his father's home parked on the homestead.

Without protection orders, we do not foresee Andy or the sisters stopping their constant harassment of their father until either he signs releases for the two caveats he has put on his property or until they put their father in the graves. Lionel needs an immediate and permanent protection order against his son and daughters as well as Mike Slegers for his own safety.

---

Roger Menard  
# 5 1 Allarie Avenue  
St. Eustache, MB  
353-3063

*Roger Menard*



October 25, 2010

My name is Fernand Gervais, Lionel's Bouchard's brother-in-law of Elie, and I have known Lionel Bouchard well over fifty years.

I have assisted Lionel by storing Mr. Bouchard's motor home for the past year. Lionel fears that his motor home will be tampered with again or taken by his son Andy or Mike Slegers, if left unprotected.

Lionel Bouchard's motorhome was moved by my brother Gilbert Gervais and his Pauline who left today for Palm Springs, as they had picked up the motor home from Ken Beaudin's yard in St. Eustache aware that Mike Slegers had been stalking the unit for days. Gilbert and Pauline drove the motor home in the dark of the night for fear that some one would report seeing the unit to Andy Bouchard.

My wife Denise and myself enjoy having the freedom a Life Estate Agreement gives us, unlike Lionel's, ours was registered on title at the time we sold our acreage.

It is my opinion, that Lionel Bouchard would never have sold his home without the guarantee to his home that a life estate agreement provides.

I am aware of the fact that Andre Bouchard kicked his father out of his home and am very concerned that this stress is a danger to Lionel's health and well-being.

I am in agreement with reinstatement of protection orders against his children who have kicked their father out of his home, this after his son Andy took financial advantage when purchasing the last piece of property from his father. At that time, Andy also promised his father could live in his house for the rest of his days which he obviously is chosen not to honor his word to his father.

We are of the opinion that Lionel Bouchard is in danger from his three children - Andre Bouchard, Lynda Staub, Claire Demery as well as Mike Slegers as long as he is not allowed to return to his home in Elie which he has a legal and moral right to do so and which he has been trying to address in the courts since his eviction in 2006!

---

Fernand Gervais  
55068 - Road 13 W  
Elie, Manitoba  
ROH OHO  
353-2126

*Denise Gervais*

Denise Gervais

*Fernand Gervais*

October 20, 2010

To Whom It May Concern,

In February 2008, Lionel Bouchard flew down to my winter home in Yuma, Arizona, out of fear that his son and daughters would apprehend him in B.C. at a time his daughter Marlene Légaré had to fly to Winnipeg to attend to a court hearing brought on by the actions of these same children.

Mr. Bouchard was with me for a period of over one month at which time he relayed that he had grave concerns with respect to his son trying to commit him in order not to honor a life estate.

Lionel told me that he had been lied to by his son and daughters who wanted to separate him from the only daughter who had kept him from being committed and to honor his wishes to continue living in the homestead which had been in the family over 100 years. Lionel was lied to about his daughter stating that she was trying to burn his house down, lock him up, move in with him, take his money, etc., whereby he later learned the truth that it was just the opposite. In fact, those instilling fear into him were actually the ones who orchestrated these lies to cover their own actions and not vice versa!

Lionel informed me that he had no recollection of going to court on several occasions nor of requesting a peace bond against Marlene. He suspects that as he was in the presence of his son on these occasions, that most likely he had been drugged as his memory is otherwise very sharp. This is the only explanation he has for the memory loss at those critical times. Lionel later learned that a psychotic medication he was on at the hospital to treat his concussion had been prescribed and not filled out by Marlene on the nurse's advice when discharged in her care. However, his children did later fill the prescription and this may have contributed to his lack of memory and resolve to stand up against these children when they moved him out of his home as he was in a vulnerable state at the time, having just been hospitalized for over a month.

As time went on, he relayed other information of what had transpired over the years in his family which caused me grave concern for his personal safety then, and still to this day, as the threats and harassment I am aware have been ongoing for over four years now and cannot but help to adversely affect Mr. Bouchard's health.

On a second occasion, Lionel, again fearing his own safety and retribution from his son after **having** commenced a civil lawsuit for possession of **his home**, had in the past witnessed his son's volatile

temper, flew down to spend time with me for several weeks. Lionel would not walk anywhere without being escorted, always in my company or a friend's, screening his telephone calls on his cellular, not talking to strangers; in other words, restricting his movements at a time when he should have been enjoying himself, his retirement. Rather he spent his time looking over his shoulder!

I saw the agony and pain he experienced and wish that on no ne. To this day I cannot understand how any children could treat their father this way, putting their father in a constant state of fear and daily apprehension as several times in the past he told me they had "ganged up on him" to force him to sign paperwork on the pretense of a "family gathering".

My concern is when Lionel does return to Manitoba, which is where understandably his heart is at with all his friends and other extended family members, and at age 86, he has a lot of history in his home town and province, that he will live in fear of his children. Once they succeed in getting him to sign his rights away will only commit him afterwards as they have shown no love whatsoever but simply hatred and disdain for their father.


My wife and I lived at Lionel's daughter's house in B.C., at which time we had to be concerned about the house being broken into when Lionel Bouéhard made a trip to Winnipeg to get three protection orders. Once his children were aware that he was in Manitoba, we had to be on high alert to safeguard the boxes after boxes of documentation that has been gathered in the past four years since this all began.

Also while being held on trumped up charges against her, my concern was for Marlene's safety as it came to my attention that her brother's wife, Angie Bouchard, through virtue of her employment with Agassiz Youth Centre in Portage La Prairie, Manitoba, is very well versed on how to manipulate the RCMP, Judges, Crown and the legal system to further her husband's cause. Of great concern was when Andy orchestrated a long typed list of names stating that these individuals all brought Marlene mentally evaluated which the judge was duped into believing, a list that included names bogus as named on that list were individuals unknown to Marlene, including Andy's own lawyer, neighbors Marlene had never met before, not to mention members of her very own family, whose names, they were unaware, were presented to the Magistrate. Based on this information, an unsuspecting judge ordered a psychological evaluation, which I paid for, which cleared Marlene of all allegations. The report concluded that Marlene was simply exercising her rights as a daughter to be involved in her father's care.

Based on my experience of how these siblings treated Marlene and their very own father. I fear for Lionel Bouchard and Marlene Légaré's safety and feel their lives are in grave danger.


Therefore, it is our duty to inform the Court of our grave concerns and appeal for immediate incontestable Protection Orders to be reinstated against Andy Bouchard, Lynda Staub and Claire Demery before any harm comes to either of these two parties.

Yours sincerely,



Dan Dill

Cellular: 1-928-271-5385



Myrna Dill

Delta, B.C.

October 26, 2010

**My name is Susie Waldner. I work as a senior caregiver from Winnipeg. I have known Lionel Bouchard for many years having grown up in St. Eustache, a small town neighboring Elie.**

**I was present the day Andy Bouchard offered to buy the family homestead from his father, Lionel Bouchard. The agreement included the provision that his father remain in his home for the rest of his life, paper work Lionel shared with me and destroyed when Andy Bouchard, Lynda Staub, Angie Bouchard and Claire Demery cleaned out his house against Lionel's wishes while he was in the hospital in January 2006.**

**After witnessing Andy, Lynda and Claire's behavior towards their father over the years, it is my firm position that Lionel needs a protection order at the very least. Furthermore, he needs a provision in this protection order so that the order not be varied or contested.**

**I have witnessed Andy screaming at his father Lionel on numerous occasions at the farm. Over the years, Andy has refused to pay what was rightfully due his father, in essence robbing his father of a carefree retirement. Lionel now has to pay rent in addition to losing the income from a room he rents out.**

**At the Holiday Inn, I saw Lynda and Claire pushing their clearly distraught father into Lynda's car against his will. at a family gathering.**

**When at the St. Eustache Manor, his three children, Andy, Lynda and Claire took turns isolating and restricting access to Lionel in person or by telephone.**

**Then in August 2008, I learned that Mike and Lionel had gone to the farm to get some of Lionel's personal items as arranged by their respective lawyers. Upon arriving at the farm, Lionel told me Andy had a psychiatrist in his truck with him – the same one Andy had taken his father to several times prior. This upset Lionel as obviously Andy had no intention of negotiating his returning home, rather Andy was still on his quest of two years prior to commit his father.**

**The reason Andy, Lynda and Claire are doing this to their father is because they want to take his legal, moral rights and freedom away, make him a ward of the court and in the process do away with their father, example Andy telling Marlene while Lionel was in the hospital recovering from a fall, that Lionel was never going home.**

**At the Grace Hospital, Andy told Marlene and myself that he had been looking to place his father in a mental institute in Portage La Prairie. This was early in the recovery stage of the concussion.**

.....1

The third week in August 2008, Lionel came over with Mike Slegers. Lionel was acting totally out of character. He was terrified Mike would hear us talking, so he requested we sit in Mike's truck while Johnny Lafreniere, who I do home care for at his home in St. Francois, went out to the gazebo in the back with Mike. Lionel was very nervous in the house, not wanting to answer any questions. I felt that something had happened at Mike's and learned that Andy who now was over often, had provided Mike with a cellular to keep in contact, yet they had taken away Lionel's cellular and hidden it. Prior to this Lionel had not had contact for seven months when Lionel left wanting to get away from the pressure of his children went to live with Marlene in B.C. who then assisted and paid for her father to obtain legal representation.

I learned that the new 2007 Caravan Marlene had provided for her father's exclusive use, had been locked up in Mike's shed and a fifth wheel placed in front of the locked doors, on the pretext that trees might fall on the vehicle. Mike insisted that Lionel place the keys to his older Dodge van in the kitchen drawer in the event Mike needed to move the van in order to cut his grass. None of this made any sense to me. Knowing Lionel as well as I did, I knew he was being threatened and he feared for his life if he spoke out. Threats obviously had been made against Lionel to comply and to pressure him to give up his rights to his home. I saw Lionel as Mike's captive and believe that Mike was following Andy's instructions to ensure Lionel was never left out of his sight as it was obvious Lionel was not free to come and go or call anyone as he pleased. Mike informed me that Claire had stated that Andy would be paying Mike for returning their father to them and the figure of \$5,000 was mentioned. The RCMP had to be called in to assist the two private investigators hired by Lionel's lawyer to retrieve Lionel's 2007 Caravan which had been locked up on Andy's direction.

Later that night, I received a call asking to drop off the contents of the van, and then the driver reconsidered. It is then that both Johnny and I watched all night until 3:30 a.m. or later as Andy Bouchard and Mike Slegers hid in the bushes across the street obviously waiting for the van to pull up. Johnny and I later reported the incident to the Headingley RCMP as it was obvious that Andy and Mike had been waiting to ambush the van and we were concerned if they had any weapons with them as they lay in wait for the van to arrive.


Lionel's belongings had been thrown haphazardly; jam packed into the van as Andy was furious he had been made to turn over the van ruining a lot of his possessions in the process.

Lionel who had offered to drive Johnny and I to Winnipeg as neither of us drive, was now restricted in his driving by Mike and Andy.

Based on their past actions, I believe that Lionel's three children, and now Mike Slegers will never, going forward, ever let their father live in peace. Rather it is my belief they will continue to harass Lionel until he gives in and signs away his rights to his home and what is still due him or is pushed prematurely in the grave with stress, etc.

I understand the reason the original protection order was set aside was due to the fact that Lionel was unable to attend court for medical reasons.

Lionel is in grave danger without a protection order and I am voicing my very real concerns to the court and will hold the justice system responsible should anything happen to Lionel at the hands of his children, as the Court has been warned of what has transpired over the years and it has a duty to protect individuals – especially vulnerable seniors such as Lionel Bouchard.



Susie Waldner  
308 339 Country Club  
Winnipeg, MB  
864-2466

**X**



SHOPPERS DRUG MART #2207  
22441 Dewdney Trunk Road  
Maple Ridge, BC V2X 7X7

Nitro

Patient: LIONEL (KBB) BOUCHARD  
Doctor: Dr. PIETER WILLIAMS  
Drug Name: GEN-NITRO SL SPRAY

Rx Date: 10/06/16  
DIN #: 02243588

DRUG: GEN-NITRO SL SPRAY

COMMON NAME: NITROGLYCERIN (NYE-troe-GLIS-er-in)

COMMON USES: This medicine is a nitrate used to treat and prevent angina (chest pain). It may also be used to treat other conditions as determined by your doctor.

BEFORE USING THIS MEDICINE: Some medicines or medical conditions may interact with this medicine. INFORM YOUR DOCTOR OR PHARMACIST of all prescription and over-the-counter medicine that you are taking. DO NOT TAKE THIS MEDICINE if you are also taking phosphodiesterase inhibitors (such as sildenafil, tadalafil, or vardenafil). ADDITIONAL MONITORING OF YOUR DOSE OR CONDITION may be needed if you are taking medicine for high blood pressure such as beta blockers (atenolol or metoprolol, for example), or calcium channel blockers (amlodipine, diltiazem, or verapamil, for example); or if you are taking phenothiazines (such as chlorpromazine, perphenazine, or trifluoperazine). Inform your doctor of any other medical conditions, allergies, pregnancy, or breast-feeding. Contact your doctor or pharmacist if you have any questions or concerns about taking this medicine.

HOW TO USE THIS MEDICINE: Follow the directions for using this medicine provided by your doctor. This medicine comes with a patient information leaflet. Read it carefully. Ask your doctor, nurse, or pharmacist any questions that you may have before using this medicine. USING THIS MEDICINE may cause sudden dizziness. Sit down before using. DO NOT SHAKE this medicine. AT THE FIRST SIGN OF AN ANGINA ATTACK, spray 1 or 2 doses onto or under your tongue. Do not inhale the spray. Do not eat or drink anything until you feel pain relief. If chest pain continues, you may repeat in 5 minutes. Do not use more than 3 sprays in 15 minutes. IF YOU STILL HAVE PAIN after using 3 sprays, contact your doctor or go to the hospital emergency room. STORE THIS MEDICINE at room temperature, away from heat and light.

CAUTIONS: DO NOT TAKE THIS MEDICINE if you have had an allergic reaction to it or are allergic to any ingredient in this product. THIS MEDICINE MAY AFFECT CERTAIN LAB TEST RESULTS. Make sure laboratory personnel and your doctors know you use this medicine. THIS MEDICINE MAY CAUSE dizziness. Drinking alcohol will worsen this effect. Do not drive, operate machinery, or do anything else that could be dangerous until you know how you react to this medicine. If dizziness occurs, sit up or stand up slowly. THIS MEDICINE MAY GIVE you a headache or cause a burning or tingling in your mouth. These effects are normal. FOR MEN: DO NOT TAKE PHOSPHODIESTERASE INHIBITORS (such as sildenafil, tadalafil, or vardenafil) while using this medicine. FOR WOMEN: IF YOU PLAN ON BECOMING PREGNANT, discuss with your doctor the benefits and risks of using this medicine during pregnancy. IT IS UNKNOWN IF THIS DRUG IS EXCRETED in breast milk. IF YOU ARE OR WILL BE BREAST-FEEDING while you are using this medicine, check with your doctor or pharmacist to discuss the risks to your baby.

SHOPPERS DRUG MART #2207  
22441 Dewdney Trunk Road  
Maple Ridge, BC V2X 7X7

Patient: LIONEL (KBB) BOUCHARD  
Doctor: Dr. MICHAEL BRIGHT  
Drug Name: PMS-VALACYCLOVIR 500 MG

Rx Date: 09/09/03  
DIN #: 02298457

DRUG: PMS-VALACYCLOVIR 500 MG

COMMON NAME: VALACYCLOVIR (VAL-ay-SYE-kloe-vir)

1 - 3 time a day  
due to stress!

COMMON USES: This medicine is an antiviral used for treating shingles (herpes zoster), cold sores (fever blisters or herpes labialis), and treating, suppressing, or reducing transmission of genital herpes in patients with normal immune systems. It is also used to treat chickenpox in children and teenagers. It is also used to suppress genital herpes in patients with HIV infection.

BEFORE USING THIS MEDICINE: Some medicines or medical conditions may interact with this medicine. INFORM YOUR DOCTOR OR PHARMACIST of all prescription and over-the-counter medicine that you are taking. DO NOT START OR STOP any medicine without doctor or pharmacist approval. Inform your doctor of any other medical conditions, including HIV or AIDS; kidney problems; pregnancy; allergies; or breast-feeding. Tell your doctor if you have had a bone marrow or kidney transplant. Contact your doctor or pharmacist if you have any questions or concerns about using this medicine.

HOW TO USE THIS MEDICINE: Follow the directions for taking this medicine provided by your doctor. TAKE THIS MEDICINE with or without food. If stomach upset occurs, take with food to reduce stomach irritation. DRINKING EXTRA FLUIDS while you are taking this medicine is recommended. Check with your doctor for instructions. STORE THIS MEDICINE between 59 and 77 degrees F (15 and 25 degrees C) away from heat, moisture, and light. Do not store in the bathroom. TO TREAT COLD SORES, CHICKENPOX, SHINGLES, OR GENITAL HERPES, start treatment as soon as possible after your symptoms start. IF TREATING AN ACUTE OUTBREAK, use this medicine for the full course of treatment. Keep using it even if you feel better in a few days. FOR SUPPRESSIVE THERAPY, this medicine works best if it is taken at the same time each day. IF YOU MISS A DOSE OF THIS MEDICINE, take it as soon as possible. If it is almost time for your next dose, skip the missed dose and go back to your regular dosing schedule. DO NOT take 2 doses at once.

CAUTIONS: DO NOT USE THIS MEDICINE IF you are allergic to any ingredient in this medicine or to acyclovir. LAB TESTS, including complete blood cell counts, kidney function, and liver function, may be performed to monitor your condition or check for side effects. Keep all doctor and lab appointments while you are taking this medicine. THIS MEDICINE MAY CAUSE DIZZINESS. This effect may be worse if you take it with alcohol or certain medicines. Use this medicine with caution. DO NOT DRIVE OR PERFORM OTHER POSSIBLY UNSAFE TASKS until you know how you react to it. SAFER SEX PRACTICES can lower the chances of passing genital herpes to your partner. Do NOT have sexual contact with your partner when you have any symptoms or outbreak of genital herpes. USE A CONDOM made of latex or polyurethane whenever you have sexual contact. BEFORE YOU BEGIN TAKING ANY NEW MEDICINES, either prescription or

BOUCHARD, LIONEL TRUST AND ACCOUNT RECONCILIATION

September 5, 2008

File 11090 S (Life Estate)

PAID  
MARLENE

ACCTS Balance

Retainer received April 23, 2008	\$ 2,500.00 ✓		
Account dated May 15, 2008		\$ 1,121.25 ✓	\$ 1,121.25
Paid from retainer	(\$ 1,121.25)		NIL
Balance Retainer	\$ 1,378.75		
Paid Minister of Finance for Appl	(\$ 200.00)		
Paid Robson for service of Appl. etc.	(\$ 488.46)		
Balance Retainer	\$ 690.29		
Account dated July 31, 2008		\$ 11,097.63 ✓	\$ 11,097.63
Transfer Balance of retainer	(\$ 690.29)		\$ 10,407.34
Retainer received August 26, 2008	\$ 6,500.00 ✓		
Transfer Balance of retainer	(\$ 6,500.00)		\$ 3,907.34
Account dated September 5, 2008		\$ 4,860.35 ✓	\$ -8,767.69

\$ 9,600 - Marlene

File 11275 W (Debt)

DAD

Retainer received July 15, 2008	\$ 2,000.00 - DAD		
Paid Robson for service	(\$ 57.75)		
Balance Retainer	\$ 1,942.25		
Account dated July 31, 2008		\$ 3,306.46 ✓	\$ 12,074.15
Transfer Balance of retainer	( 1,942.25)		\$ 10,131.90

E&OE

PAID Winston - \$ 11,000  
 New Bill - \$ 10,131.90  
 \$ 21,131.90 LEGAL FEES PPL x 5 YEARS  
 \$ 6,312.54 PRIVATE INVESTIGATORS AGGRAVAT  
 \$ 27,444.44

+ Private Investigators - Regina \$ 5,280.00  
 - Van \$ 1,032.64 } 6,312.54  
 \$ 16,444.54 o/s BTL

Winston	10,131.90	+
PRIVATE	5,280.00	+
INVESTIGATORS	1,032.64	+
003	.....	
	16,444.54	*

## **Marlene Legare, CFP**

---

**From:** Winston Smith [wsmith@hookandsmith.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 04, 2008 4:51 PM  
**To:** 'Marlene Legare, CFP'  
**Subject:** RE: Affidavit - in event of sale of property  
**Attachments:** Statement of Claim.doc

Hi Marlene,

Your Dad was in today and signed the affidavit in support of the possession application. It has now been filed along with the Application itself and the Slegers and mail service affidavit RE letter to Bock). The hearing date on the uncontested list is July 16th to give us sufficient time for service on Bock and ALB. I asked your Dad to be in attendance.

He also signed the affidavit in support of the Pending Litigation Order (PLO) we have to file in the Land Title Office (LTO). The Statement of Claim (copy attached) was also filed today along with a Notice of Motion to the Master for the PLO. This motion will be heard on Tuesday next and your Dad does not have to be present. Service of the Statement of Claim is in the process. You will note that the amount claimed in total does not include certain of your expenses listed. Costs is always an issue for the courts to decide and do not have to be included and the other expenses are, in my opinion, difficult to prove ALB responsible for them.

I received a copy of an affidavit by ALB from his lawyer. That affidavit was filed with the LTO to get the 30 day notice issued by the District Registrar. The affidavit attached a release in favour of ALB, signed by Lionel and witnessed by Michelle and Linda. The release (dated January 22, 2008) says ALB does not owe LAB any money on the land purchase, but states only one of the parcels sold and even that, the legal description is wrong (says SW 1/4 instead of SE 1/4). Your dad does not remember signing such a document that also confirms that he can take 100 trees.

The lawyer (Kelly Land) also advised that he was going to be discussing the life estate issue with ALB.

Total fees for both files to date exceed \$7300.00 plus taxes and disbursements. Lionel paid me \$2000.00 today. I will issue interim accounts about mid July or sooner if possession and access is agreed to.

Regards,

Winston

Winston F. Smith, Q.C.  
Hook & Smith  
Barristers, Solicitors & Notaries Public  
201-3111 Portage Ave.  
Winnipeg, Manitoba  
R3K 0W4  
off: 204-885-4520  
fax: 204-837-9846  
res: 204-488-0765  
cel: 204-955-0001  
email: [wsmith@hookandsmith.com](mailto:wsmith@hookandsmith.com)

CHEQUE # 00754

\$6,500.00

Marlene Legare  
13346 251 St  
Maple Ridge, B.C. V2X 2A7  
(604) 467-6670

DATE 2 0 0 8 0 8 1 8  
7 5 4  
T T T T T T T T T T

PAY TO THE ORDER OF HOOK & SMITH \$ 6,500.00  
SIX THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS / 100 DOLLARS

Legal Fees - Lionel Bouchar *Marlene Legare*

#0000650000

CHEQUE # 00737

\$448.00

Marlene Legare  
13346 251 St  
Maple Ridge, B.C. V2X 2A7  
(604) 467-6670

DATE 2 0 0 8 0 8 1 4  
7 3 7  
T T T T T T T T T T

PAY TO THE ORDER OF HOOK & SMITH \$ 448.00  
FOUR HUNDRED FORTY EIGHT / 100 DOLLARS

File #11005-18W *Marlene Legare*

#0000944800

\$540.42

Marlene Legare  
13346 251 St  
Maple Ridge, B.C. V2X 2A7  
(604) 467-6670

DATE 2 0 0 8 0 4 1 9  
7 0 8  
T T T T T T T T T T

PAY TO THE ORDER OF Life Insurance - 421 \$ 540.42  
540 / 100 DOLLARS

*Life Insurance* *M Legare*

#0000054042

CHEQUE # 00711

\$2,500.00

Marlene Legare  
13346 251 St  
Maple Ridge, B.C. V2X 2A7  
(604) 467-6670

DATE 2 0 0 8 0 4 2 2  
7 1 1  
T T T T T T T T T T

PAY TO THE ORDER OF HOOK & SMITH \$ 2,500.00  
TWENTY FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS / 100 DOLLARS

Legal Fees - Lionel Bouchar *Marlene Legare*

#0000250000

MY DAUGHTER  
MARLENE LEGARE  
ASSISTED ME TO PAY  
FOR LEGAL FEES FOR  
WIFE ESTATE UNDISCLAIM

193\_001 - 001000

**Y**

June 12, 2010

204-986-3594  
Fax: 204-948-2140

Teulon RCMP

Attn: Greg

Re: Protection Order Against Claire Demery

I am writing in reference to a Protection Order, dated October 31, 2009, in favour of my father - Lionel Bouchard, with regards to the unimaginable senior abuse any father has had to endure - especially over the past four year since being evicted from his home of over 50 plus years in Elie, Manitoba.

Claire Demery was in attendance on June 3, 2010, when this order was further enforced in Court of Queen's Bench. Please advise Claire Demery that she is prohibited from attending the funeral of Lionel Bouchard's sister on Tuesday June 15, 2010, and we are writing in light of the fact that Claire Demery has once before breached the order by attending the funeral in St. Eustache of Lionel Bouchard's brother-in-law Laurent Houde. This makes it impossible for her 86-year-old father to attend as he in constant fear for his life while in the company of his three children Lynda Staub, Andre Bouchard and Claire Demery due to their bullying tactics, duress in the past, manhandling and even to the point of drugging their father in order to manipulate him!

Andre Bouchard has refused to honour the Life Estate he agreed to in 2003 and is well known for his vindictiveness and raging temper over the years and that is why my father's lawyer had him flea the province after Andy showed up once again with a psychiatrist to try to deem his father incompetent, which backfired on him.

Lynda Staub admits she wants nothing to do with her father in contesting the protection order, but on the other hand she is requesting that her healthy father be made a "ward of the public trustee" despite admitting he is very competent to look after his own affairs.

These siblings are relentless in trying to bury their father, similar to what they have already done to their mother, all in the name of self-serving greed all the while they have destroyed the whole family by their actions.

I trust there will be no misunderstanding on Ms. Demery's part.

Sincerely,

*Marlene Legaré*

Marlene Legaré,

P. of A. for Lionel Bouchard