

NOTAR PUBLIC STATE OF TEXAS

This is Exhibit " H " referred to in the affidavit of Lionel Andre Bouchard

sworn before me at Maple Ridge

this 8 day of Apr 2011

[Signature]

A Commissioner for Taking Affidavits

LIONEL ANDRE BOUCHARD

OR

LEGAL COUNSEL

NOTAR PUBLIC STATE OF TEXAS



the money. He told you in June he didn't have the money to pay the costs, so you were very explicit that you could award costs if, if he, you know, wished, wished to proceed.

As Mr. Land said, this was -- this entire amount -- procedure was meant to embarrass, to -- it was vexatious, it was unnecessary and it was to get even with her siblings for what she perceives is being left out of the proceedings. Ms. LeGare was never aware that her father had signed over the property many years earlier and she thought it was going to be hers. It's very simple. She's convinced her father it's going to be hers and that he's going to get it back. He wants his property back. It is not a matter of, of protection. It is not a matter of danger. It is simply that matter.

My client would love to see the public trustee involved, would love to see somebody taking over him, because the influence that she has on him is not normal, it's not right and it's not fair. But he is aware. He's there, he hears, he said he understood, and we have to assume he does. Mild cognitive difficulties do not make people unaware of how to reason necessarily, but the reasoning in this matter just is not very clear.

So I would argue that, that own lawyer costs are advisable.

THE COURT: So what specifically are you requesting, Ms. Dixon?

MS. DIXON: I would request my fees, my hourly fees. I would request my full disbursements. I have already given Ms. Staub a reduction in fees for the first part. I would be able to provide the court with an invoice for that and my second invoice for my subsequent fees.

THE COURT: But can you tell me the dollar amount approximately of what those costs are?

MS. DIXON: My previous invoice was -- just one moment. I have it here, My Lady. My previous invoice, My Lady, was for \$6,300 in fees, which I reduced to 3,500 plus

Manitoba Century Farm ¹⁰⁰ years Award

This award is presented to the
descendants of
Joseph Bouchard
to mark the continuous operation of
a Manitoba farm at
SE 1/4 14-11-3 W
for more than one hundred years
commencing in
1902.




On behalf of the people of Manitoba
this award recognizes the following
family members whose operation of
a Century Farm has made a
significant contribution to
Manitoba's agricultural industry

Joseph Bouchard, SR. 1902
Armand Bouchard 1936
Lionel Bouchard 1957
Lionel & André Bouchard 1999

Presented - 2002



Premier of Manitoba



Minister of Agriculture and Food

home property and, while inside the shed, Mr. Jamieson took me aside and asked me some questions, including questions about my memory, the present date and other questions that, upon reflection, appeared to be a test of my mental competence. The apparent assessment was conducted without my consent. In any event, at the conclusion of the questions, Mr. Jamieson informed my son, in my presence, that I was quite capable of looking after my own affairs.

7. Following the meeting at the Lionel Bouchard home, Mike, my son, Andy, and I went to Portage la Prairie for dinner during the course of which, Andy and Mike talked privately in low tones so I could not make out what they were saying.

8. After dinner all three of us returned to Mike's residence and it appeared that Andy and Mike were going to carry on their conversation and, as I was exhausted, I went to bed, but before retiring, I gave them my cellular phone as they said I should replace it.

9. Very early the next morning Andy was back at Mike's residence and they informed me that we were going to see my lawyer, Mr. Smith, for an unscheduled meeting to resolve the issue of possession in the upcoming court hearing as it would not be necessary as my son told me that he was prepared to purchase a home for me in Elie, Manitoba, in which I would live for the rest of my days and I would only be required to pay my living expenses there, but not rent and realty taxes. My son further informed me that he knew of a house for sale in Elie, (on

1 spoke with a colleague of my learned friend here. She knew
2 this matter was coming forth, she knew it was going to case
3 conference on the 17th of March. Last Friday she contacted
4 me and said -- she contacted the court to say that it
5 should be dismissed because her father had an eye
6 appointment. The court -- I phoned the court because I was
7 left a message that it had been dismissed. I contacted the
8 court, the court said no, it had not been dismissed, that
9 she was supposed to be asking for our permission. I did
10 try to contact Ms. LeGare, I phoned her several times, did
11 not reach her at any point but I did say we were not
12 consenting until we had some reason to consent. We had no
13 reason to consent, no evidence before us.

14 This, this is a matter that is brought about to
15 embarrass the family, which it has done, to simply make the
16 family look ridiculous in front of the community, which it
17 has done, and to simply annoy the clients.

18 All these clients are serious, these, these
19 respondent -- applicant/respondents, as you would. Mr.
20 Andre Bouchard, Claire Demery and Linda Staub have hired
21 lawyers to get this dismissed, to have this removed, they
22 don't want this blot on their record, as it were, and Ms.
23 LeGare has nothing but, but delay, delay, delay. And
24 unfortunately, our clients haven't had any contact with
25 their father for over two years because he's been in BC.

26 Now, he does want to come back to Manitoba,
27 according to his affidavit, but obviously he has doctors,
28 he has a life set up out there, he's thinking of having
29 surgery out there. So you get a protection order just
30 because you think you want to come back sometime? I don't
31 believe that the, the Act allows, I think I want to come
32 back and, therefore, I want a protection order in Manitoba
33 and I think I might be threatened if I get there and,
34 therefore, I want a protection order and I think I might

consent, no evidence before us.

This, this is a matter that is brought about to embarrass the family, which it has done, to simply make the family look ridiculous in front of the community, which it has done, and to simply annoy the clients.

All these clients are serious, these, these respondent -- applicant/respondents, as you would. Mr. André Bouchard, Claire Demery and Linda Staub have hired lawyers to get this dismissed, to have this removed, they don't want this blot on their record, as it were, and Ms. LeGare has nothing but, but delay, delay, delay. And unfortunately, our clients haven't had any contact with their father for over two years because he's been in BC.

Now, he does want to come back to Manitoba, according to his affidavit, but obviously he has doctors, he has a life set up out there, he's thinking of having surgery out there. So you get a protection order just because you think you want to come back sometime? I don't believe that the, the Act allows, I think I want to come back and, therefore, I want a protection order in Manitoba and I think I might be threatened if I get there and, therefore, I want a protection order and I think I might have my property damaged but there was no evidence of any of those things in the transcripts or anywhere in his application.

We would like these matters dismissed and, of course, costs.

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Bouchard?

MR. L. BOUCHARD: Yes.

THE COURT: Well, do you --

MR. L. BOUCHARD: Hello.

THE COURT: -- do you have any response?

MS. LEGARE: May I speak on his behalf? Mr. Bouchard has -- my dad has a life estate and these parties have signed documents stating their father could go back in June --

THE COURT: That has nothing to do with this