THE PROVINCIAL COURT OF MANITOBA

IN THE MATTER OF: An application under "THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND STALKING ACT."

BETWEEN:

LIONEL BOUCHARD,

Applicant,

- and -

LYNDA STAUB,

Respondent.

AND BETWEEN:

LIONEL BOUCHARD,

Applicant,

- and -

ANDRE BOUCHARD,

Respondent.

AND BETWEEN:

LIONEL BOUCHARD,

Applicant,

- and -

CLAIRE DEANNA DEMERY,

Respondent.

---

**TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS** before Judicial Justice of the Peace Lischenski, held at the Law Courts Complex, 408 York Avenue, in the City of Winnipeg, Province of Manitoba, on the 25th day of February, 2011.

---

APPEARANCES:

MR. L. BOUCHARD, in person

**BAN ON IDENTIFICATION OF PARTY OR WITNESS**

Section 13(1) of The Domestic Violence and Stalking Act provides that no person shall publish or broadcast in a media report the name of a person who is a party or witness in this proceeding, or any information likely to identify the person for a time period specified in the section.

# INDEX


| | Page |
|---|---|
| PROCEEDINGS | 1 |
| **WITNESS FOR THE APPLICANT:** | |
| LIONEL ANDRE BOUCHARD | |
| Clarification of Written Evidence | 2 |
| REASONS FOR JUDGMENT | 18 |

FEBRUARY 25, 2011
PROCEEDINGS

FEBRUARY 25, 2011

THE COURT: February the 25th, 2011, approximately 11:00 a.m. This hearing is an application for a protection order before Judicial Justice of the Peace Lischenski, L-I-S-C-H-E-N-S-K-I.

The applicant in the proceedings is Lionel Bouchard. Lionel is L-I-O-N-E-L, Bouchard, B-O-U-C-H-A-R-D.

MR. BOUCHARD: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. And what I want to make sure is that you -- when you are speaking that you are speaking loud enough so that the microphone can pick you up; okay?

MR. BOUCHARD: Okay.

THE COURT: Also, if you need to describe something, with your hands or something like that, you have to describe it in words, okay, so that we can see it? I'm also going to caution you with respect to full disclosure to the court, and what that means is that you are responsible to tell the court everything and it has to be the truth; okay?

MR. BOUCHARD: Yeah.

THE COURT: And you -- even if it makes you look bad, you still have to include it because if you don't, if they challenge the protection order, afterwards, if it's granted, they -- then a judge in a higher court can get rid of -- can throw it out because not all the information was provided; okay?

The other thing I'm also going to caution you is that the respondent can listen to a copy of the tape so you don't want to say anything on the tape that you don't want the respondent to know such as if there is a place you go for safekeeping, hiding, or something like that, you don't want to put that on the record because then they're know

where it is. Okay?

I'm going to put you under oath. Do you want to swear on the Bible or affirm?

MR. BOUCHARD: I did already.

THE COURT: Okay, you're going to swear on the Bible?

MR. BOUCHARD: Yeah.

THE COURT: Yeah, for this hearing, okay. So put your right hand on the Bible.

MR. BOUCHARD: Yeah.

**LIONEL BOUCHARD**, sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURT: I'm also going to caution you with respect to making a false statement under oath. It is an offence to knowingly make a false statement under oath; okay? Do you understand that?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

CLARIFICATION OF WRITTEN EVIDENCE:

Q So the first respondent I am going to deal with is Andre Bouchard; okay? Andre is spelt A-N-D-R-E, Bouchard, B-O-U-C-H-A-R-D.

Now, you indicated here that Mr. Bouchard and you have lived together in a family relationship. Is he your son? Right? Mr. Bouchard is your son?

A That's right, yeah. Correct, yeah.

Q Okay.

A Correct, Your Honour.

Q Okay. The most recent time you had experienced any sort of domestic violence and/or stalking from the respondent was back in October 2010. It ways 29th, it was

-- it's ongoing since 2006. You're at the Winnipeg airport, had Lynda Staub, his sister, attempted to charge you with being abducted by Marlene Legard (sic). Who is Marlene Legard?

MS. LEGARE: Legare.

THE COURT: Legare. That's you?

MS. LEGARE: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY THE COURT:

Q So what, so what happened there, the police were called?

A Right. They tried to, to abducted me, I mean they, they tried to put me in the, in the mental home, like, you know, for -- and --

Q Okay.

A -- and they -- she said that Marlene was abducting me but it wasn't true, it's ...

Q Okay. Why did she think that Marlene was abducting you?

A Because, I mean, they're trying to put me into a mental home.

Q Okay, what did they do, how did they try to put you in a mental home?

A Pardon?

Q How did they try to put you in a mental home?

A Well, they've been doing this for quite awhile, that's why I was to have a peace because I mean, they, they, they threw me out of my home while I was in the hospital, I had a bad accident, now I want peace, I want peace for the rest of my days and I want them to leave me.

Q Are there any court orders with power of attorney or anything like that before the -- do you -- do they have -- anybody have power of attorney? Again, I can't, I can't

listen to you, yeah.

A Just whatever Winston Smith they hired for investigators and he, he says you -- he sent me to Vancouver because, I mean, he says that they -- he didn't trust, he didn't trust Andy because -- so that was for my protection.

Q Okay. But -- okay, so Mr., okay so Mr. Bouchard, Andre, he's your son; right?

A That's correct.

Q Okay.

A Your Honour.

Q But he doesn't have power of attorney for you; right?

A No, my -- no.

Q Okay.

A Marlene has the power of attorney.

Q Okay. That's what -- I just wanted to know if he's got any sort of legal obligations to, to look after your affairs or anything like that; okay?

A Right. Correct, My Honour.

Q Okay. So you indicate that he was seen stalking during an evening, waiting by a van to return, back in August -- this was back in August 2008; right?

A Correct, Your Honour.

Q Okay. Which is across the street with Mike Sleegers (phonetic). Who is Mike Sleegers?

A He's just a -- he used to be a friend of mine but I mean, he, he told me one day, he says Lionel, I have $20,000 to put you back in your home and then he turned against me and ...

Q Okay. You indicate that there is the -- in terms of the police being called, that they were arrested, there was a theft in 2006, June 2008 RCMP called to release motor home. That's your motor home?

A That's right.
Q Okay.
A It's an older motor home, like 21 years old.
Q So what, what was the theft for? Was that something that they stole from you?
A Yeah. They locked all the keys inside so I couldn't, I couldn't get in.
Q Locked all the keys inside where?
A In the motor home so I couldn't get -- so I had to get the -- somebody to tow it to the Ford dealer to pick up the keys and then they, they removed wires on it so I couldn't use it but ...
Q Okay. So this -- and this was your motor home?
A Yes.
Q Okay, and this was --
A That's correct, Your Honour.
Q -- back in 2006?
A Pardon?
Q Or 2008? What -- do you remember what year that was?
A '89.
Q Okay. And again, did they try to commit you immediately upon your fall back in December of 2005? So you had a fall; right?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Advised -- destroyed your property while you were in hospital. How did they destroy your property, what did they do?
A Well, they took everything out of, out of my home, I had important papers in my room, I told them that -- to leave them alone, not to go in my room until I go back home.
Q Okay. How did they into the house?
A What?

Q How did they get in the house?
A Well, they, they had the keys.
Q Okay. So -- do they still have keys to your home or anything like that?
A Yeah, they still have (inaudible), yeah.
Q Okay. Have you ever thought of changing your locks so they don't have access to your house?
A They're somebody living in the, in the house now.
Q They're living in the house now?
A Some of them.
Q Is it your house?
A Pardon?
Q Is it your home?
A In my home.
Q Okay. How come they're living there?
A Well, I mean, the -- I have a life estate in the, in the -- to my home and the -- so ...
Q Okay, but it's your home; right?
A Right.
Q You live there?
A Correct, I own it.
Q Okay. And they're living there, as well?
A Yes. I mean, somebody is living in my home right now, yes.
Q Not you -- not, not one of these people that, that are in the (inaudible) --
A No.
Q -- somebody else?
A Yeah, somebody else.
Q Okay. But do the kids --
A It's a complete stranger to us.
Q Okay, so they're -- you're renting it to them or something?
A Pardon me?

Q How, how are they living there?
A Well, I hand it -- gave them the keys to move in there and --
Q Okay, so you're not renting it to them?
A No.
Q You're just letting them live there?
A Yeah, he's renting it to them and (inaudible) --
Q Who's renting it?
A -- and I have a life estate on that, on my property and they, they refuse to let me in, let me in --
Q Okay.
A -- in my home so ...
Q On the title of the property, whose name is on the title?
A His, his name is on the title.
Q His name is on the title? And with you?
A Yes.
Q So it's -- the both of yous (phonetic) are on the title?
A Pardon?
Q Both of yous are on the title for this property?
A Well, he has a ...
Q Take your time, it's okay, just think about what you want to say.
A For the -- on the property it's, it's under his name but I have a life estate on that --
Q Okay, what do you mean by a life estate?
A In writing, they -- it's on, on -- it's in writing that I could live there as long as I live.
Q Okay, so it's on there that you can live and that was an order that was done through the Court of Queen's Bench at some time?
A Pardon me?
Q Was that an order that was done through the Court

of Queen's Bench, did you ...
A It was, it was done through, through, through my lawyer.
Q Through your lawyer?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So -- okay, I'll leave it at that and then I'll come back and I might ask you some more questions about that; okay?
Okay. You indicated that he impersonated you and did some telephone banking without your knowledge; is that correct? That he impersonated you and did some telephone banking without your knowledge?
A He tried to.
Q Okay. So did you contact the bank about that?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Did they -- was he ever charged with that?
A They didn't -- they weren't allowed to do it but ...
Q What do you mean they weren't allowed to do it?
A Well, they're not supposed to go into my bank and --
Q No, no. Yes, so you called the bank and told them that this person impersonated you?
A Tried, correct.
Q Okay. Did you call the police on that --
A Well, we went --
Q -- indicating that he impersonated you?
A No, we went and checked I mean but ...
Q Who did you check with?
A That they, that they are -- they were -- they have no right to go into my bank account.
Q Okay. But they did go into your bank account; right?
A Yes.

Q And so did you talk to the bank about that?
A Yes, correct, yes.
Q Okay. So you talked to the bank.
A Right.
Q And said that this -- so what did the bank say when they said that somebody had -- you said somebody had impersonated me?
A Well, they tricked, they tricked them that they had power of attorney that was in force, it wasn't in force.
Q Okay. So there was a power of attorney at that time?
A Pardon?
Q There wasn't a power of attorney at that time?
A No, Marlene had the power of attorney (inaudible).
Q Okay, but that was the Marlene that was on the phone; right? This was your son; right?
A That's right.
Q Okay. So your son didn't have a power of attorney so the --
A No.
Q -- bank gave him information about something in terms of your banking; right?
A Yeah, he went there with a false power of attorney that wasn't in force.
Q Okay. Did the -- okay, did the, did the bank call the police?
A No, they didn't but --
Q Did you call the police?
A Yeah. No, it was -- so he, he went there with a false power of attorney and --
Q Right. But that's against the law; right?
A Against the -- it's correct.

Q Okay. So did anybody call the police about it?
A No.
Q Okay. Just, I just wanted to know if the police have ever been involved in all this.
A Yeah.
Q Okay. You indicated you had a protection order back in 2009; is that correct?
Did you have a protection order in 2009? Do you remember that?
A Yeah, correct, yeah.
Q What happened with that protection order?
A They didn't honour it, I mean I was ...
Q Was it granted?
A It was granted but after they, they removed it and, and I wanted it back, I wanted --
Q Who removed it? They went to court and had it set aside?
A I, I think it was the -- they went to court and they removed it.
Q Okay.
A Yeah.
MS. LEGARE: May I add something then?
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. LEGARE: Again.
THE COURT: Sorry, yeah -- I mean, you can't -- unfortunately it has to be his testimony. The only way you can give evidence is if -- I mean, I'm not opposed to listening to some -- the thing is your application is not sworn, you're not sworn in so --
MS. LEGARE: Yeah, I have (inaudible) --
THE COURT: Um-hum.
MS. LEGARE: It was set aside because he was in B.C. --
THE COURT: Okay.

MS. LEGARE: He couldn't travel, he had a medical emergency, he couldn't --

THE COURT: I think I saw that on a different application.

MS. LEGARE: Very good, yes. Just to clarify.

BY THE COURT:

Q Okay. Mr. Bouchard, do you have a lawyer?

A I had Winston Smith for --

Q Okay.

A -- for awhile.

Q And have you discussed any of this stuff with him?

A Pardon?

Q Have you discussed any of this stuff with him?

A Yes.

Q Okay. So the last incident was -- so on October 29th, 2010, which is the airport incident, what's happened between now and then?

A The ...

Q With, with Mr., with Mr. Bouchard, Andre, your son, what's happened? Have you heard from him between now and then?

A No, I haven't talked to him for (inaudible), I haven't talked to him for awhile I mean, but I would like to get a protection -- I have protection order and so they said they -- I wanted to get, I wanted to get back into my home, I mean, I have --

Q Okay.

A -- legislate, I have rights and, and ...

MS. LEGARE: He was also in B.C., that's why.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. LEGARE: And he was concerned about coming back unless he got a protection order. (Inaudible.)

BY THE COURT:

Q Is there anything else you want to talk to me about, Mr. Bouchard, at all?

A Pardon?

Q About your son, anything else you want to add about your son at all, before I move to the next person on your -- the list here?

MS. LEGARE: Maybe I can bring something up, there was a revoked power of attorney that he's still using, even though it's been revoked.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. LEGARE: And we would like for him to turn it in.

THE COURT: Okay. I mean that's a -- and that's a criminal matter, if it's been revoked and it's not there, it's -- I mean, if he's passing it off, it's something that --

MS. LEGARE: But we were -- it's like we're always --

THE COURT: He needs to be charged criminally with that. Somebody has to call the police and have them go and charge him with that.

MS. LEGARE: Oh, is that what we do then?

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. LEGARE: Okay.

THE COURT: Anything that's being done that is not legal, it's a matter for the police. Yeah.

MS. LEGARE: It's, it's like with the bank, we're going to follow up on it.

THE COURT: Yeah, and that's why the bank, the banker, if he's going to the bank with a power of attorney that's not in --

MS. LEGARE: He's doing something --

THE COURT: That's not in effect --

MS. LEGARE: He's doing teller --
THE COURT: -- then they're, they're --
MS. LEGARE: He's doing teller banking, he's impersonating dad.
THE COURT: Yeah, and that's the thing, that's an impersonation charge.
MS. LEGARE: Okay.
THE COURT: And that is something that the police have to be called on; okay?
MS. LEGARE: Okay.

(MONITOR EQUIPMENT TURNED OFF)

THE COURT: Okay, so Lynda Straub (sic), and that's L-Y-N-D-A, and just for the record so that it's again Lionel Andre Bouchard, L-I-O-N-E-L, Andre, A-N-D-R-E, Bouchard, B-O-U-C-H-A-R-D, application for a hearing order before Judicial Justice of the Peace Lischenski, January 11th, 2000 and -- or sorry, January 11th, February 25th, 2001, approximately 1:00 -- or 11:30.
Mr. Bouchard has already been sworn in with respect to the application against Mr. Andre Bouchard.

CLARIFICATION OF WRITTEN EVIDENCE:
Q So she was involved in the incident at the airport; correct? This is Lynda, again, she was at the airport, as well?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Were they saying that you were trying to abduct him? Okay. And that's -- again, and you're his daughter; right?
MS. LEGARE: Yes, that's correct.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. LEGARE: (Inaudible.) That's only the third

time they've done that, twice to me and once to his (inaudible).

THE COURT: Okay.

BY THE COURT:

Q And I'm just going to note for the record, you have concerns that they're trying to commit you; right?

A They're, they are.

Q You understand how that process works, what they would have to do?

A Well, they tried to abduct me. They said, they said --

Q Okay.

A They took me to psychiatry about four or five times and --

Q They had to have a court order to do it; okay? They can come and they can make an application, what's, what's called a mental health order and they can have you assessed by a psychiatrist or whatever but it's ultimately the psychiatrist that would have to be the one who makes the decision as to whether or not you would be found either ...

MS. LEGARE: Competent.

THE COURT: Yeah, competent to be able to manage your own estate, affairs, and stuff like that. Right?

MS. LEGARE: They want to make him a ward of the Public Trustee --

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. LEGARE: -- in their applications and to circumvent that I took him to the mental health in B.C., he's perfect.

THE COURT: Yeah, and I mean --

MS. LEGARE: (Inaudible.)

THE COURT: -- the same thing would happen here, too, he would have to be assessed by somebody.
MS. LEGARE: Okay, but --
THE COURT: They can't make, they can't make that decision; right?
MS. LEGARE: Okay, but what they did to me was they charged me with abducting my mother when I was trying to take her down, with her permission, to a cancer clinic.
THE COURT: Right.
MS. LEGARE: And then they formulated this typed list and in front of the judge said that everyone had wanted me to get a mental evaluation, in order for me to get bail I had to have one. And then when I came out and checked over the list there's people on there I've never met.
THE COURT: What do you mean? In terms of -- on what list?
MS. LEGARE: Well, they gave -- my brother gave the judge a list of people saying that they wanted me assessed.
THE COURT: Right.
MS. LEGARE: And it was a typed list. And when I finally saw the list, it was his lawyer, it was a psychiatrist, it was neighbours I have never met in my life.
THE COURT: And that was here or in B.C.?
MS. LEGARE: In here, Manitoba. So you see it's not really what -- it's how he portrays it. He's been (inaudible) --
THE COURT: Well, I mean, if you get a mental -- if you're applying for, yeah, a mental health application, like I mean --
MS. LEGARE: They've already --
THE COURT: -- they can say whatever they want on

the application, they're under oath, so if, if the evidence is not truth --

MS. LEGARE: I'll show you --

THE COURT: -- truthful --

MS. LEGARE: I'll show you their affidavit.

THE COURT: -- and then again that's another police matter where charges to be laid if they, if they falsified evidence of if they're saying stuff.

MS. LEGARE: Oh, but if they --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. LEGARE: -- if they put it in an affidavit that's totally wrong, that's --

THE COURT: If it's, if it's -- because a justice reviews all these applications; okay, and they're --

MS. LEGARE: And they're sworn --

THE COURT: -- they're reviewing -- just as I am reviewing this today, I am reviewing it that everything that you say is true; okay? I have to accept that, what you're telling me is true, because you've sworn an oath; okay?

Now, if you're lying, and it's determined that you're lying on any application before the court, there is a process that somebody would have to review it and lay charges on that person for --

MS. LEGARE: I thought it was only Crown could do that.

THE COURT: Yeah. Well, I mean somebody has got to bring it to their attention saying this is totally false information and have evidence to show that it's false, that they -- the person --

MS. LEGARE: Because we've got (inaudible).

THE COURT: -- and they could be charged. Yeah,

I mean it's, it's a complicated matter and I, and I -- and I'm going through all these applications and I'm looking at them all and I --

MS. LEGARE: Actually, I have a brief case full of (inaudible) --

THE COURT: Yeah. And as I say you, you --

MS. LEGARE: -- to counter their, their --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. LEGARE: -- false affidavits.

BY THE COURT:

Q And as I say, Mr. Bouchard, you do have probably -- because it's family and because they're -- I mean, they, they can put in -- because of your age and, and they're -- they can probably continue to do things through the court to try to make your life uncomfortable, to try to gain whatever means that they want to have; okay? But they can do that and that's going to be something that you're -- like I mean, you've got your daughter, so hopefully we'll be able to help you somewhat.

MS. LEGARE: I (inaudible) five years.

THE COURT: And get -- as I say, you need a good lawyer that can also help you. I mean, unfortunately, that costs money, right, and I know that that, that certainly puts a strain on you.

I mean, I'm looking at all these applications and I have read all the applications and I'm -- you know, all the, the evidence that you've provided to me.

MS. LEGARE: I've got -- actually, I've got a suitcase full of it but they didn't want it. Just like non-stop, they're not going to let go again.

THE COURT: Okay.

(CLARIFICATION CONCLUDED)

MS. LEGARE: The only peace --
THE COURT: I mean I'm -- and I am prepared to grant orders, okay, on these. I mean, I'm just going to -- I'm going to go through the process of saying that given the evidence that you've provided to the court I'm satisfied that, based on a balance of probabilities, that your, your, your family is ...
MS. LEGARE: That would make his life more (inaudible).
THE COURT: Subjecting you to a form of domestic violence and that given your concerns and your fears that you've -- based on a balance of probabilities you need an order on an imminent basis; okay?
So I'm going to grant the order on all three of them; okay? So just for the record, and I'm just going to go on the record and say so on all these applications, and for simplicity because -- and as I say, if your family or may -- or they may come and they may request a copy of the, the transcript for all these proceedings; okay? So as I say, based on your protection order for -- against Lynda Straub, I am satisfied, again, that the criteria has been met to grant a protection order, based on the written evidence that I've seen today, as well as your testimony that you've provided to me; okay?
The conditions of the protection order are going to be that the respondent shall not follow the applicant from place to place. The respondent now shall not directly or indirectly communicate or contact the applicant. Okay?
And the exception to that will be at any court proceedings in which the respondent is a party to or an accused person, or in relation to the custody or access or a related family matter.
So that means that they can -- if you enter a court proceedings with them, they can be in the courtroom;

okay? However, while they're in the courtroom they have to remain at least two metres away from you at all times and they can't communicate with you, except in the presence and with approval of the judge, master, or the official of the court. And they cannot remain at any location where you would be alone with them; okay? So that means if you have to go court of whatever -- they could still be in the courtroom but they can't talk to you, they can't be left alone with you. Okay?

MR. BOUCHARD: Okay. Thank you.

MS. LEGARE: Okay, what about funerals? There's some funerals they stayed away from relatives (inaudible) to be there.

THE COURT: And I'll, and I'll get to that in a second.

MS. LEGARE: Okay, thank you.

THE COURT: So basically that they still not attend at or near or within 200 metres of where you reside, regularly attend or work or carry on business; okay? Again, with the exception of the court proceedings; okay?

And that they shall not attend or remain at any place where you happen to be, again with the exception of the court proceedings. Okay?

So those are going to be the conditions of the protection order, okay, against Ms. Straub; okay? So they, they can't have any contact with you, they can't have anybody contact you on their behalf; okay?

MR. BOUCHARD: Okay, then.

THE COURT: And as I say, if you happen to be somewhere and they walk in and see you there, they have to leave. Okay? Do you understand that?

MR. BOUCHARD: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay, so that's the order of the court on Lynda Straub.

(MONITOR EQUIPMENT TURNED OFF)

THE COURT: Back on the record with the application for Mr. Andre Bouchard. Again, based on the evidence provided to the court, and your written application and on testimony you've provided today, I do find that based on a balance of probabilities that an order is necessary, due to domestic violence, on an imminent basis against Mr. Andre Bouchard, okay?

And, again, the conditions for that are going to be that the respondent shall not follow the applicant from place to place, the respondent shall not directly or indirectly communicate with -- contact the applicant with the exception of the court proceedings. That the respondent shall not attend at or near within 200 metres of where the applicant resides, regularly attends, and works or carries on business, again with the exception of any court proceedings.

And that the respondent shall not remain at any place where the applicant happens to be. And that's except again with the court proceedings. Okay? So that's the order against Mr. Bouchard; okay?

MR. BOUCHARD: Okay.

THE COURT: The order also, just for the record, again, it expires three years from today's date.

(MONITOR EQUIPMENT TURNED OFF)

THE COURT: Okay, now with respect to Claire Deanna Demetri (sic), again based on the evidence that is provided in your application, the evidence that you presented to me, in respect to the hearing with Lynda Staub and Andre Bouchard, I am prepared to grant a protection order based on domestic violence. Based on a balance of

probabilities I believe an order is necessary, given domestic violence and based on the urgency of your need for the order.

The conditions of the protection order against the respondent will be that they shall not follow the applicant from place to place. Is it Demery or Demetri?

MS. LEGARE: Demery. D-E-M-E-R-Y.

THE COURT: Demery. Okay, sorry.

Okay, so they -- the respondent shall not follow the applicant from place to place, the respondent shall not directly or indirectly communicate with or contact the applicant, again except where the court proceedings take place.

That the respondent shall not attend at or near, within 200 metres of where you regularly reside, including -- or regularly attends or works or carries on business, again with the exception of the court proceedings.

And that the respondent shall not enter or remain at any place where the applicant happens to be, with the exception of the court proceedings. Okay?

So that's going to be the conditions on that, again it will expire three years from today's date.

Now, I know you've had an application before was granted, they had it set aside, they probably -- they might, they might do that again this time so you should be probably prepared to deal with it this time if that happens; okay?

So what is going to happen is these orders will be granted, it will probably take, I'm going to say probably an hour and a half maybe to type them up; okay? So you will have to come back to counter 100D or you can wait there, if you want to, okay? And all the service documents are there. Okay?

(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED)

**CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT**

I hereby certify the foregoing pages of printed matter, numbered 1 to 21, are a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings, transcribed by me to the best of my skill and ability.

PAMELA PESCITELLI
COURT TRANSCRIBER