

Marlene Legare, CFP

From: Marlene Legare, CFP [mlegare@telus.net]
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 4:06 PM
To: Scott Newman
Subject: Re: Variation of Order
Importance: High
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Scott,

In accidentally dialing my mother's # which was on the same small piece of paper as the florist at Crestview Safeway just now, (where I had ordered *anonymously* roses for Mother's Day for pickup) I see if they had yet been picked up as I ordered them on Friday and on Sunday they sent out a reminder call. I got Mom on the telephone in error and quickly hung up. However, my Mom seemed very pleased to hear from me that I redialed and spoke to her. Mom told me that she had heard from ALL of her other children (7) but not from me so she thought I was mad at her....so what an awful thought when you are fighting cancer!!!

Mom told me that she received a call out of the blue from a "very nice young girl" stating that 'your daughter is here and she can not come and disturb her Dad and her sister and her brother and she would like to visit you as you are sick.' Mom was then told the only way they could keep me from coming to Manitoba was if Mom also agreed to not have me visit her as well. Mom said she did not know what to say and felt she had to please them and agreed. She also mentioned that she was not up for a visit that day anyways as she had been sick all week. The lady explained to her that Marlene could come back in three months to visit - if she wanted.....Mom said fine, she would probably be better by then anyways.

In other words, they put the words in her mouth and **MOM NEVER INITIATED THE CALL WHATSOEVER** and was very surprised at the request she told me as she knew nothing about what was going on, as no one had contacted her, not myself or anyone in the family.

She stated that no she did not want any visitors that day because she was not feeling well, told the lady that I was a very nice girl (her words and not mine) and that she made the young girl promise that she would ask her to tell me to give her a call. So as a result of not hearing from me, she thought I was 'mad' at her.....

Now that certainly does not sound like the same message I got in your office, so if the variation has not been done, I would suggest strongly suggest that it not be changed.

I am also requesting that you confirm this conversation yourself for the record. My mother's name is Jeannette Van Steelant and her telephone # in Stonewall is 204-633-3208.

In other words, as I expected, Andy was also behind this.....

Mom told me that she insisted that the girl pass on a message for me to call her and the girl agreed. Obviously you did not get that message either and wouldn't it be **cruel** on Andy's part if Mom died thinking I did not care enough about her to get in touch with her?

Message

The withdrawal from my investments is occurring overnight, as well as I will be forwarding you a letter from Telus confirming the difficulties I have and continue to have with my PDA device which they acknowledge for some reason had gone to silent mode on its own and was still in that mode when I brought it in to their store.

Respectfully submitted,

Marlene Legare, CFP
12345 - 234th Street,
Maple Ridge, B.C.
V2X ON7

604-467-5640 Office/Res.
604-467-5630 Fax
604-786-3061 Cellular
mlegare@telus.net

Marlene Legare, CFP

From: Marlene Legare, CFP [mlegare@telus.net]
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 4:14 PM
To: 'Scott Newman'
Subject: FW: Variation of Order
Importance: High
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Scott,

As an after thought, if you will be speaking to Mom, could you also confirm what she told me earlier in February 2006, that whenever Andy Bourchard goes over, he always makes fun of Dad and that she did not like that.

Where I am going with this is that Andy has zero respect for his Dad whatsoever and has and continues to take advantage of his father at every opportunity he can.

Respectfully submitted,

Marlene Legare, CFP
 12345 - 234th Street,
 Maple Ridge, B.C.
 V2X 0N7

604-467-5640 Office/Res.
 604-467-5630 Fax
 604-786-3061 Cellular
 mlegare@telus.net

-----Original Message-----

From: Marlene Legare, CFP [mailto:mlegare@telus.net]
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 4:06 PM
To: Scott Newman
Subject: Re: Variation of Order
Importance: High

Scott,

In accidentally dialing my mother's # which was on the same small piece of paper as the florist at Crestview Safeway just now, (where I had ordered *anonymously* roses for Mother's Day for pickup) to see if they had yet been picked up as I ordered them on Friday and on Sunday they sent out a reminder call), I got on the telephone in error and quickly hung up. However, my Mom seemed very pleased to hear from me that I redialed and spoke to her. Mom told me that she had heard from ALL of her other children (7) but not from me so she thought I was mad at her....so what an awful thought when you are fighting cancer!!!

Mom told me that she received a call out of the blue from a "very nice young girl" stating that 'your daughter is here and she can not come and disturb her Dad and her sister and her brother and she would like to visit you as you are sick.' Mom was then told the only way they could keep me from coming to Manitoba was if Mom also agreed to not have me visit her as well. Mom said she did not know what to say and felt she had to please them and agreed.

Pages : 1

Date : June 7, 2006

To : Mark Wasyliv

Company : Brodsky & Co.

Fax: 204-940-4430

Phone : 204-940-4433

From : Marlene Legare, CFP

Fax: 604-467-5630

Phone : 604-467-5640

Subject : No Contact with Jeannette Van Steelant

1. Thank you for your call this morning.
2. Spoke to Mom for over an hour and she was happy to talk to me and was not aware of what is transpiring with respect to this variation order nor does she does not approve of it neither will she make herself available if she is requested to go to a hearing at end of June. She reiterated that when Crown called her, she was not feeling good that day and requested no visit at this time as she had just returned from treatment in Mexico, so it is understandable her response when she was not aware of what was transpiring with Andy, and basically she was "tricked" in making that statement. Again, as far as Crown goes, she robbed me of an opportunity to visit on Mother's Day someone with reoccurrence of ovarian cancer. What right does Andy have to dictate who can see their very own mother? That is pure evil! Not to mention again if Mom had gone to her deathbed upset because I had not called her as Crown promised her she would pass on the request to call her! It is unfair to put Mom in the middle of Andy's power trip and very insensitive and cruel! This is the same statement the judge in Portage made to Andy about moving his father from his own home of 52 years!
3. Andy has sought to split up the family and cause dissension among all siblings and now has "brainwashed Dad and put him under psychiatric care" in order to pull out his evil deed of evicting his very own father at the first opportunity he got. My sister was relied on by my father and works at Land Titles and did not work in my Dad's best interests when Andy approached Dad to buy the rest of the 80 acres of him. Dad's agreement included Dad's right to remain in his home for the rest of life and he complained to me on January 28 that he was upset with Andy for not putting this part of the agreement in writing and that he did not "trust" Andy.
4. In an e-mail, we have confirmation that Andy raped his younger sister while in his teens, so that shows you what he is capable of.
5. Shortly after your call, I had a call from Land Titles office in Kenora, Ontario, confirming that Angela Bouchard just registered on June 1 and June 6, 2006, for the purchase price of \$134,000 for over 143 acres plus. Am awaiting confirmation coming tomorrow. Andy had purchased a previous 143 acres a few years prior. Andy bragged to me that had more "resources" than I had. This is Andy's motive for evicting Dad.

6. What I saw in Headingley R.C.M.P detachment is letters from sisters on file and in court Scott Newman will attest to the fact that Andy was making claim that the family had wanted me to go for psychiatric evaluation for quite some time, this is a statement he also made to Headingley R.C.M.P that he was "concerned" about me when he stalked me for over 2 1/2 years at a restaurant when I was dining with an uncle. So I can see that as I stood in his way to stop him from committing Dad, now he wants me there as it is becoming more and more apparent that I have been the only one ever to stand up to him and he will stop at nothing.
7. So again, please put on your best boxing gloves and go in there heavy hitting as that was my mistake as I gave Andy some grace when he was first charged, but it backfired as you can see as there is no negotiating with him and no "love" and "caring" there for his father or family but greed and power are his motivators. Dad commented to me that Andy will spend hours in the coffee shop with people with money but doesn't give him the time of day especially now that he has basically stolen Dad's property because of what he paid for it \$60,000 when 80 acres valued at \$151,000 the following June. Now he has stolen his future and "burying him alive" as anyone displaced from their home eventually do not live as long as someone at home and happy regardless of what little "side agreement" Andy has since presented Dad in order to keep him out on June 1st as he has not started working on Dad's place nor does he have any intentions to do so as he stated to me in the hospital in January.
8. I am fairly confident that if I do another search at Land Titles on Dad's home, that there will be something interesting to be found. However, in Manitoba, you also have a delay of three months to update title, that I don't understand and doesn't make sense to me.
9. Keep in mind that I feel like Erin Brokovitch against a big company and there are many against one here and that their minds have been going non-stop with false accusations. My concern is that they will try to take this to my work here in B.C., so I am counting heavily on you tomorrow to shut him down! Also there has been envy and jealousy from my sisters over the years and it is surfacing at this point with Andy in the lead.
10. At your request, I will work on a narrative of events for you. However, I believe you are now seeing the picture of what I have been against these past few months and the length Andy has gone to hide what I believe is a trail of destruction he has left in his wake....

barristers & COMPANY

Operated By Greg Brodsky Law Corporation

- > G. Greg Brodsky Q.C.
- > Jason Miller
- > Mark Wasyliw
- > Ryan Amy
- > Kathleen Fotheringham
- > Scott Newman (Articling Student-at-Law)

1212-363 Broadway
Winnipeg, Manitoba
R3C 3N9 Canada

Telephone (204) 940-4433
Facsimile (204) 940-4430

Reply to **MARK WASYLIW**
Direct line 940-4434

June 8, 2006

Personal & Confidential
Solicitor/Client Privilege

Ms. Marlene Legare
12345 234th Street
Maple Ridge, B.C. V2X 0N5

Dear Ms. Legare:

RE: No Contact - Jeannette Van Steelant

This will confirm that the above matter has been set for **June 29, 2006 at 10:00 a.m.** in **Courtroom 410**, located at 408 York Avenue in Winnipeg, Manitoba.

YOU MUST ATTEND COURT ON THAT ABOVE-NOTED DATE. PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT IF YOU DO NOT ATTEND, A WARRANT WILL BE ISSUED FOR YOUR ARREST.

Yours truly,

BRODSKY & COMPANY
PER: COPY

MARK WASYLIW
MW/sw

File No.

**THE QUEEN'S BENCH
WINNIPEG CENTRE**

BETWEEN:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

Applicant,

- and -

MARLENE LEGARE,

Respondent.

**AFFIDAVIT OF JEANETTE VAN STEELANT
SWORN the 17th day of June, 2006
COURT DATE: June 29, 2006 at 10:00 am**

**COLLEEN MCDUFF
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Public Prosecutions
5th Floor, 405 Broadway
Winnipeg, MB R3C 3L6
(945-3265)**

**THE QUEEN'S BENCH
WINNIPEG CENTRE**

APPLICATION UNDER: Section 521 of the Criminal Code of Canada

BETWEEN:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN, Applicant,

- and -

MARLENE LEGARE, Respondent.

AFFIDAVIT

I, Jeanette Van Steelant, in the City of Winnipeg, for the Province of Manitoba, MAKE OATH AND SAY:

1. THAT I am the mother of the Respondent, Marlene Legare and as such have personal knowledge of the facts hereinafter deposed to, except where same is referred to as being based on information and belief.

2. THAT I am also mother of complainant, Lynda Staub and ex-wife of complainant, Lionel Bouchard.

2. THAT I am informed and do verily believe that the Respondent received judicial interim release with a condition that she "not attend the Province of Manitoba except to attend court, meet with counsel or to visit your mother in Stonewall, Manitoba."

3. THAT I am currently suffering from cancer and am receiving treatments for same.

4. THAT I presently do not wish the Respondent to visit me in person because of the stress (emotional and physical) that such a visit will cause me given my relationship with all the parties involved.

5. THAT I am not opposed to the Respondent contacting me by telephone as I can terminate the calls if they become unduly stressful.

6. THAT I may be prepared three or more months from now to have visits from the Respondent should the circumstances of my physical and mental health change.

6. THAT I make this Affidavit bona fide.

SWORN BEFORE ME

at the City of Winnipeg,

in the Province of Manitoba,

this ^{13th} day of June, 2006

)

)

)

)

Janette Van S. Sant

[Signature]
A Commissioner for Oaths in
and for the Province of Manitoba.
My commission expires: *

2008 04 20

fax to solicitor in WINNIPEG

Re: "No Contact" - Jeannette Van Steelant

Arrived in Winnipeg from Vancouver 5:45 p.m., Wednesday, June 28, and drove directly to my mother's house just before Stoney Mountain on #7 Highway. As I drove past Mom's long farm driveway, I saw Andy's white truck as well as Lynda's car in the yard, both just happened to be there upon my arrival.

Drove past and waited about 20 minutes and came back. At this time, Andy's truck was gone and waited another 1/2 when I saw Lynda's car leave.

Mom was laying down on the couch and did not look good at all. She has lost a great deal of weight and aged considerably - this is what I noted while she was sleeping. When she opened her eyes. (I did not wake her), she smiled at me and told me she was not doing good and that her stomach area was very painful. She appeared to be very weak. So we prayed together for a few minutes and then she got up wanting to serve me food (typical of Mom)...

Robert came up from the basement and we chatted for a while. Discussed Mom's treatment and encouraged her to go back to the Burzynski Clinic as the treatment had been working for her and now she had stopped taking the pills in April having gone to the Texas Clinic the first two weeks in January. (I now know who was behind that - see the faxes from Lynda basically sabotaging Mom's treatment at a world-renowned clinic that has a 93% cure rate.)

Mom told me that I did not have to come out and that I was here to "cause trouble" (may as well have been Andy and Lynda's words) and I told her that there would have been a warrant had I not come out. She did not believe me and I told her I had misplaced my letter at home and that I could get a copy from your office to prove it. She also stated that up until now she had liked me but that now I was evil, evil, evil. (Isn't that what I called Andy - so these are his words again!) I asked her to give me an example of what I had done, and she could not come up with anything. She said "good girls" don't go to jail. Told her it had to do with a jurisdiction issue more than anything. In the past, Mom never ever treated me like this - these accusations/behaviour did not start until Andy got charged and I brought Dad home in January.

Mom told me Andy had raped Doreen and not me and that it was none of my business. She also told me that anyone doing business is crooked (meaning it was okay for Andy to do business that way.) I told her no, that was not true. As Mom has difficulty expressing herself as her first language is French, she frustrates easily as quite often finds herself stumbling to find the right words in English and even though she can speak to me in French and I would understand, she defers to English out of respect for Robert who speaks no French and the tone of her voice goes up. I was very calm and asked her to slow down in her talking as she tends to wind up I have noted since January. We carried on our conversation for only a few more minutes as Lynda appeared and remained in the car, taking down the licence plate # of the rental vehicle I was driving.

At that point, based on your advice, I left.

Att.

ANDY'S
WORDS
NO DOUBT!

BRODSKY &
barristers COMPANY

1212-363 Broadway
Winnipeg, Manitoba
R3C 3N9 Canada

Telephone (204) 940-4433
Facsimile (204) 940-4430
Email www.gregbrodsky.ca

Operated By Greg Brodsky Law Corporation

- > G. Greg Brodsky Q.C.
- > Jason Miller
- > Mark Wasyliw
- > Ryan Amy
- > Kathleen Fotheringham
- > Scott Newman (Articling Student-at-Law)

Reply to MARK WASYLIW
Direct line 940-4434

June 29, 2006

Personal & Confidential
Solicitor/Client Privilege

Ms. Marlene Legare
12345 234th Street
Maple Ridge, B.C. V2X 0N5

Dear Ms. Legare:

RE: aslt x 2; mischief:

On June 29, 2006, I appeared in the Court of Queen's Bench before the Honourable Justice Beard. On a Crown Motion to delete a condition on your Bail allowing you to attend Winnipeg to visit with your mother. We contested the Crown's Application, as we felt the Court had no jurisdiction to impose it. We had a contested Hearing before Justice Beard, who granted the Crown's Application and deleted the exception from your Bail, which will now read you are only allowed to attend Winnipeg to attend Court or to meet with counsel. This will not effect your ability to contact your mother on the phone. However, should she die, we would have to attend Court and get a subsequent variation to the Hearing.

As I advised you in Court, I believe that the Judge did not have jurisdiction to make the change that the Crown had sought, nor in her Reasons for Decision did she find any error of law with the previous Judge, who refused to impose that Order. Therefore, in my opinion, you would have a basis for an appeal for that condition. However, you told me at that time that given what has transpired with your family, you are happy to remain in British Columbia and only to have contact through the phone. You further indicated that at this present time you were not of the feeling that you would attend in Manitoba for any funeral proceedings. Should that change, please advise me.